

Decision 02-03-065

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Authority to Institute a Rate Stabilization Plan with a Rate Increase and End of Rate Freeze Tariffs.	Application 00-11-038
Emergency Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U 39 E) to Adopt a Rate Stabilization Plan.	Application 00-11-056
Petition of The Utility Reform Network for Modification of Resolution E-3527.	Application 00-10-028

ORDER CORRECTING ERROR IN DECISION (D.) 02-03-062

This order corrects a typographical error that appears in Decision (D.) 02-03-062. In Ordering Paragraph 1z. on page 36, the revenue responsibility for SCE, in dollars, is corrected from \$3,495,257,000 to \$3,459,257,000. This correction is clerical in nature, and is therefore made by order of the Commission's Executive Director pursuant to Resolution A-4661.

Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that D.02-03-062 is corrected as described herein. Attached is a copy of the corrected page.

This order is effective today.

Dated March 28, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ WESLEY M. FRANKLIN

WESLEY M. FRANKLIN
Executive Director

to recorded costs. Accordingly, long-term contract costs (i.e., greater than 90 days) will be allocated pro rata to the customers of each utility service territory based on the respective monthly net short positions actually covered by DWR. Short-term contract costs will be allocated among the utilities based on the actual costs of purchases separately measured North and South of Path 15.

For purposes of computing the true-up of forecast-to-actual costs to be allocated to each utility service territory, it therefore will be necessary for DWR to separately report actual costs for short-term power (i.e., 90-days or less) segregated between sources north of Path 15 and south of Path 15. These actual costs can then be used to implement the true-up of the interutility allocation of short term power costs on a zonal basis, consistent with the methodology adopted in this order. By contrast, the actual costs of long term contracts need not be separately reported on a zonal basis, since they will be trued up using the statewide pro rata allocation approach as adopted herein.

Any overcollection or undercollection will be taken into account in determining subsequent adjustments in DWR charges to be remitted.”

- x. On page 95, the first two full paragraphs are deleted.
- y. On page 104, the following Finding of Fact is inserted after FOF 25:
“Pursuant to D.01-09-059, SDG&E has included in its tariffs franchise fees associated with revenues derived from DWR’s sales to SDG&E customers.”
- z. On page 104, FOF 28 is deleted and replaced with the following: “28. The allocation of DWR’s revenue requirement as adopted in the ordering paragraphs below results in a revenue responsibility (in dollars and percentages) for PG&E’s service territory in the amount of \$4,368,955,000 (48.3%); for SCE’s service territory of \$3,459,257,000 (38.2%); and for SDG&E’s service territory of \$1,217,249,000 (13.5%).”
- aa. On page 104, FOF 29 is deleted and replaced with the following:
“29. The allocation of DWR’s revenue requirement as adopted in the